Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Mass Spectrometry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijms



# Determination of clumped isotopes in carbonate using isotope ratio mass spectrometry: Toward a systematic evaluation of a sample extraction method using a static Porapak<sup>TM</sup> Q absorbent trap



### Xu Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Linlin Cui<sup>b</sup>, Yangyang Li<sup>a</sup>, Xiaofang Huang<sup>a</sup>, Jixuan Zhai<sup>a</sup>, Zhongli Ding<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Cenozoic Geology and Environment, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 9825, Beijing 100029, China

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 9825, Beijing 100029, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 January 2016 Received in revised form 11 March 2016 Accepted 11 March 2016 Available online 16 March 2016

Keywords: Clumped isotope Carbonate Sample extraction method Porapak Q trap Optimum collection time

#### ABSTRACT

In this study, we performed a detailed comparison of stable and clumped isotopic data for an extraction method passing CO<sub>2</sub> through a Porapak Q absorbent trap (PQT) driven by liquid nitrogen (LN method), an extraction method passing  $CO_2$  through a PQT driven by liquid nitrogen and high vacuum pumping (LN+HV method) and an extraction method without a PQT (NAT method), to examine the effectiveness and reliability of these methods. Changes in raw  $\Delta_{47}$  values along with collection times were used to constrain the optimum collection time (OCT) for each method. The OCT for the LN method shortened considerably when the PQT was warmer, i.e., from  $\sim$ 35 min to  $\sim$ 30 min when the PQT temperature changed from  $-15 \circ$ C to  $-12.5 \circ$ C. In contrast, the OCT for the LN + HV method displayed little change with the PQT temperature and was reduced to 25 min for both -15 °C and -25 °C. The contaminant removal efficiency of these methods was evaluated by  $\Delta_{48}$  values, revealing that the LN method (with a -12.5 °C to -25 °C PQT) and the LN+HV method (at -25 °C) could effectively remove contaminants compared with the NAT method. Therefore, the LN+HV method (at -25.0 °C) may serve as a promising sample preparation method for routine measurement because it can save time without compromising sample cleaning efficiency. Nevertheless, the LN + HV method yielded  $\Delta_{47}$  values 0.04–0.05‰ higher than the LN method at the same temperature, possibly because of different absorption-desorption states of the PQT in these methods. These findings provide useful clues for optimizing CO<sub>2</sub> extraction methods for clumped isotope analysis.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Clumped isotopes are multiply substituted isotopologues (molecules containing two or more rare isotopes) and are a relatively new research direction in geochemistry. Recently, clumped isotope compositions of carbonate (expressed as  $\Delta_{47}$ ; quantifying the excess abundance of CO<sub>2</sub> with mass 47 ( $^{13}C^{18}O^{16}O$ ) in carbonate) have been developed as a useful thermometer [1]. Clumped isotope thermometry has an advantage over conventional oxygen isotope thermometry in cases where the isotope composition of the water is unknown. Therefore, the clumped isotope thermometer enables a more precise reconstruction of paleotemperature than the oxygen isotope thermometer. This technique has been recently applied to determine the body temperatures of some extinct vertebrates and dinosaurs [2,3], to reconstruct changes in environmental temperatures during the geological past [4–6], to constrain diagenetic alteration [7] and to elucidate the uplift history of high mountains or plateaus [8].

Although clumped isotope thermometry has such broad applications, a large discrepancy still exists when comparing data from different laboratories. For example, the maximum difference in  $\Delta_{47}$ values generated by various laboratories for the same carbonate substances in a recent inter-lab calibration reached up to 0.07%. (Stefano Bernasconi, personal communication), corresponding to a temperature difference of approximately 15 °C or greater. The reasons for this large discrepancy may be manifold. Previous studies have shown that instrumental tuning parameters [9] and pressure imbalances between reference and sample CO<sub>2</sub> gases in dual-inlet mode [10] can affect the accuracy and precision of clumped isotope analysis. Other than effects from the mass spectrometer itself, the sample extraction method may be an important aspect to consider, especially when laboratories employ different processes for

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 8299 8581; fax: +86 10 6201 0846. E-mail address: xuking@mail.iggcas.ac.cn (X. Wang).



**Fig. 1.** Schematic map showing the collection and purification apparatus of the  $CO_2$  produced from the phosphoric acid digestion of carbonate. Product  $CO_2$  is cryogenically purified from water and other trace gases by passing through Trap A and Trap C, which are sequentially immersed in liquid nitrogen and a liquid nitrogen/acetone slurry. Trap B, the Porapak Q absorbent trap usually maintained at -15 °C (by immersion in a liquid nitrogen/ethylene glycol slurry), could be optionally used for the purification of hydrocarbons in this study. The  $CO_2$  passes through Trap B if valves V2 and V3 are open and valves V5 and V6 are closed. Otherwise, the  $CO_2$  will circumvent Trap B if valves V2, V3, and V7 are closed while valves V5 and V6 are open. Pressure gauge locations are marked as 1 and 2.

CO<sub>2</sub> extraction from carbonates. For example, Wacker et al. [11] studied reaction mechanisms of two different acid digestion techniques - a conventional sealed vessel method (at 25 °C) and a common acid bath method (at 90 °C), and a sample size effect on  $\varDelta_{47}$  values was observed for carbonates digested using the conventional sealed vessel method. To remove isobaric interferences from contaminant gases, i.e., hydrocarbons and halocarbons [12], CO<sub>2</sub> liberated from carbonate has been subsequently purified using two different methods: the CO<sub>2</sub> is entrained in a He stream flowing through a gas chromatography capillary column packed with a porous divinyl benzene polymer at  $-10 \degree C$  or  $-20 \degree C$  [1,13,14], or the CO<sub>2</sub> is passively passed through an absorbent trap filled with Porapak Q<sup>TM</sup> (divinyl benzene polymer, 80–100 mesh) at -10°C to -35 °C [7,15,16]. However, the extraction method using a Porapak Q absorbent trap has not yet been systematically evaluated, although it is routinely employed in  $\Delta_{47}$  analysis in some laboratories.

In this study, we performed a series of contrasting experiments to examine the effectiveness of the Porapak Q absorbent trap (PQT) extraction method for CO<sub>2</sub> purification. The differences in measured  $\Delta_{47}$  values for CO<sub>2</sub> extracted from pure carbonates were determined using two different methods: passing through the absorbent trap and circumventing the absorbent trap. This may provide useful future guidance to optimize sample extraction for clumped isotope analysis. To the best of our knowledge, no systematic research has been published on this issue.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. CO<sub>2</sub> extraction method

A Chinese national stable isotope standard (GBW04406) and three laboratory working standards (IVA, NB4 and P1) were analyzed for clumped isotopes. GBW04406 (calcite, supplied by the National Bureau of Standards of China) is a pure chemical reagent powder with certified  $\delta^{13}$ C = -10.85% (VPDB) and  $\delta^{18}$ O = -12.40%(VPDB). IVA (calcite, supplied by IVA Corporation, Germany) is a fine-grained chemical reagent with measured  $\delta^{13}$ C = +2.21%(VPDB) and  $\delta^{18}$ O = -1.90% (VPDB). NB4 (calcite, from Beijing Geological Museum, China) is coarse-grained white marble, which was crushed to a fine powder and homogenized using a mortar and pestle. P1 is a fine-powdered coral material, collected in Thailand. Our prior work indicated that these samples span a range of isotopic compositions and formation temperatures. We extracted CO<sub>2</sub> from carbonates by reaction with anhydrous phosphoric acid, generally following the method of Ghosh et al. [1]. Briefly, approximately 15 mg of sample was reacted with ~103% phosphoric acid (density 1.90 g/ml) under vacuum at 25 °C for ~16 h (overnight). The phosphoric acid was made by mixing an originally crystallized phosphoric acid ( $\geq$ 99%, Sigma–Aldrich) with phosphorus pentoxide powder (99%, Sigma–Aldrich). The CO<sub>2</sub> product was cryogenically purified by passing sequentially through different traps.

To examine the differences in measured  $\Delta_{47}$  values for CO<sub>2</sub> purified using different extraction methods, we designed a glass extraction line with an optional pass through the Porapak Q absorbent trap (Fig. 1). The PQT used in this study was a glass U-tube trap densely packed with Porapak<sup>TM</sup> Q (divinyl benzene polymer, 80–100 mesh) with packed material of 8 cm in length and ~8 mm in diameter.

We adopted two different collection processes for purification extraction using the PQT: one with the CO<sub>2</sub> passing through the absorbent trap cryogenically drawn by liquid nitrogen (LN method), and the second with the CO<sub>2</sub> passing through the absorbent trap driven by liquid nitrogen and a high vacuum pump (LN+HV method). The LN method consists of the following procedures. (1) The CO<sub>2</sub> was first frozen in a U-shaped trap (Trap A) at the temperature of liquid nitrogen, and the trap was subsequently changed to a liquid nitrogen/acetone slurry trap at -80 °C to release the CO<sub>2</sub> while the water was still frozen. (2) The evolved CO<sub>2</sub> passed through the PQT (Trap B) immersed in a liquid nitrogen/ethylene glycol slurry (around -15 °C) and was then collected in another U-shaped trap (Trap C) at the temperature of liquid nitrogen with an optimal collection time determined during the study. (3) Once a given collection time was reached, the trap (Trap C) was subsequently changed to a liquid nitrogen/acetone slurry trap at -80 °C to freeze any trace amount of water, and the released CO<sub>2</sub> from Trap C was then collected in a small glass sample vessel using liquid nitrogen for clumped isotope determination. The LN + HV method generally follows the above LN method procedures except for the second step, which is described as below: the evolved  $CO_2$  was drawn through the PQT (Trap B) immersed in a liquid nitrogen/ethylene glycol slurry (around -15°C) with V2 and V3 simultaneously opened and collected in Trap C using liquid nitrogen. It was then continuously pumped on by a high vacuum pump downstream of Trap C through opening V4, V9 and V11. In contrast, the extraction method without purifying through a PQT (NAT method) only involved the removal of water from the CO<sub>2</sub> by two water traps (Trap A and Trap C) immersed in liquid nitrogen/acetone slurries  $(-80 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ . Prior to extraction of next sample, the PQT was heated at approximate 100 °C for 15 min and evacuated using HV pump during the same time. After one day's pretreatment, the PQT was heated and evacuated for about 2 h to ensure a complete removal of potential contaminants. During the pretreatment process, the temperatures of both the Porapak Q absorbent trap and the water traps were carefully measured to ensure that the experiments were conducted under equivalent conditions.

#### 2.2. Clumped isotope measurements

All analyses were carried out on a Thermo Finnigan MAT253 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS), which was configured to simultaneously collect ion beams of m/z = 44, 45 and 46 (read through  $3 \times 10^8 \Omega$ ,  $3 \times 10^{10} \Omega$  and  $1 \times 10^{11} \Omega$  resistors), as well as 47, 48 and 49 (read through  $1 \times 10^{12} \Omega$  resistors). The IRMS was operated with an electron energy of 84 V, an acceleration potential of ~9.5 kV, and a closed 'sulfur window'. On this IRMS, we replaced the stainless steel capillary tubes with electroformed nickel (EFNi) capillaries, which largely minimized isotope redistribution reactions [13]. The extraction potential was set at 90%, which can largely stabilize the linearity effect (as proposed by Huntington et al. [17]) of our mass spectrometer over the long term [9]. The clumped isotope measurements were made in dual-inlet mode, with an average beam intensity of 16 V on mass 44. The analysis for

one sample consisted of 8 acquisitions. Each acquisition involved 8 cycles of sample–standard comparison, and each cycle involved 26 s of integration of the sample and standard ion beams. A total of 64 cycles was performed on a given sample, taking two and a half hours. Analyses were standardized via comparison with an intra-laboratory reference gas whose bulk isotopic composition had been previously calibrated against CO<sub>2</sub> produced by the phosphoric acid digestion of NBS-19.

In this study, we report the excess abundance of mass 47 using the  $\Delta_{47}$  notation [12], which relates the measured isotopic ratios  $R^{47}$  of the sample to the ratio  $R^{47*}$  of the same sample assuming it had a stochastic isotope distribution.  $\Delta_{47}$  is calculated by the following equation:

$$\Delta_{47} = \left[ (R^{47}/R^{47*} - 1) - (R^{46}/R^{46*} - 1) - (R^{45}/R^{45*} - 1) \right] \times 1000$$
(1)

where  $R^{47}$ ,  $R^{46}$ , and  $R^{45}$  are the abundance ratios of masses 47, 46, and 45, respectively, relative to mass 44 (e.g., 47/44).  $R^{47^*}$ ,  $R^{46^*}$  and  $R^{45^*}$  are the corresponding ratios that would occur in a sample with stochastic distribution. Theoretically,  $\Delta_{47}$  for CO<sub>2</sub> is approximately 0‰ at 1000 °C, which means a stochastic distribution is achieved. In practice, three or more heated CO<sub>2</sub> gases of different isotopic compositions with a large range of  $\delta$ 47 values relative to the reference gas were carefully measured to construct a heated CO<sub>2</sub> gas



**Fig. 2.** Plots showing changes in  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta^{47}$ , raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset,  $\delta^{48}$  and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  offset (relative to raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values determined with a 35 min collection time) values of two carbonate samples (IVA and NB4) along with collection time using the LN method at different PQT temperatures. A time of 35 min is sufficient to obtain a stable  $\Delta_{47}$  value for both samples. Each point represents one extraction and individual measurement. Error bars denote internal precision for each measurement (i.e., SD for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O, SE for other values).



**Fig. 3.** Changes in  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta^{47}$ , raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset,  $\delta^{48}$  and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values of NB4 along with collection time using the LN + HV method at different PQT temperatures. Each point represents one extraction and individual measurement. Error bars denote internal precision for each measurement (i.e., SD for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O, SE for other values). The horizontal orange and blue dashed lines are the mean values measured using the LN methods at PQT temperatures of  $-15 \,^{\circ}$ C and  $-25 \,^{\circ}$ C, respectively, during the same day as those determined using the LN + HV method. A time of 25 min is sufficient to obtain a stable  $\Delta_{47}$  value for the sample.

line (HG line, i.e., a  $\delta$ 47 vs.  $\Delta_{47}$  plot), which was used to correct for the non-linearity effects of mass spectrometry and to obtain the real  $\Delta_{47}$  value [17]. The raw  $\Delta_{47}$  value of a sample was calculated using Eq. (1), but it is necessary to correct for linearity effects in the IRMS, which were determined by the measurement of heated gases of different isotopic compositions (i.e., HG line). The correction was performed following the procedures described by Schmid and Bernasconi [15].

#### 2.3. HG line construction

In this study, carbonates with different isotopic compositions were used to construct the HG line. These carbonates are GBW04405 ( $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ : +0.57‰;  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$ : -8.49‰), GBW04406 ( $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ : -10.85‰;  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$ : -12.40‰), GBW04417 ( $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ : -6.06‰;  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$ : -24.12‰), LEVIG-CO-1 ( $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ : -46.81‰;  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$ : -13.47‰) and NBS-19 ( $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$ : +1.95‰;  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$ : -2.20‰). The ranges for the  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values of the above chosen carbonates normally cover those of natural carbonate samples that we have routinely measured. CO<sub>2</sub> gases were produced via phosphoric acid digestion of these carbonates, sealed in quartz-glass tubes, heated to 1060 °C in a muffle furnace for 3 h, and rapidly quenched in tap water at room temperature. The heated gases were purified using cryogenic procedures in a manner identical

to the preparation of samples before being transferred to the IRMS.

#### 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Optimizing the collection time for $CO_2$ passing through the absorbent trap driven by liquid nitrogen (LN method)

To determine the optimal collection time for CO<sub>2</sub> passed through the PQT, we tested different lengths of time (15-50 min) to collect the CO<sub>2</sub> in a downstream trap (Trap C in Fig. 1) using liquid nitrogen (LN method). Two carbonate samples (IVA and NB4) were used to generate CO<sub>2</sub> for this test and two different temperatures for the absorbent trap were examined. The collected CO<sub>2</sub> was subsequently repurified to remove trace water using a liquid nitrogen/acetone slurry and then transferred to a MAT253 IRMS (Thermo Finnigan) for clumped isotope analyses. Mass spectrometer behavior may shift over time (as documented by HG lines [17]) and the clumped isotopic composition of a working gas may also be subject to change, which prohibits direct comparison of raw  $\Delta_{47}$ values (i.e., the  $\Delta_{47}$  of the sample relative to the working gas). In this case, we daily measured raw  $\Delta_{47}$  values for IVA or NB4 with a collection time of 35 min, as well as other collection times, in each batch of tests to monitor the working condition of our mass



Fig. 4. A plot of  $\delta$ 48 vs.  $\Delta_{48}$  values for NB4 and IVA samples determined using the LN, LN + HV and NAT methods, compared with those of the heated gases measured during the same time.

spectrometer. Moreover, samples for the same batch collectiontime test were run very proximally in time (i.e., within one day or over several consecutive days). We then calculated raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offsets for each sample with different collection times using the raw  $\Delta_{47}$ value of the 35 min collection time as a reference. The changes in the  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta^{47}$ , raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset,  $\delta^{48}$  and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  offset (relative to raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values determined with a 35 min collection time) values of these two carbonate samples along with collection times are shown in Fig. 2. The raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset values for both IVA and NB4 increase with the collection time. For the absorbent trap temperature of -12.5 °C, the raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset values of both IVA and NB4 exhibit little variation when the collection time is greater than 30 min. In contrast, the raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset values of NB4 treated at a -15.0 °C trap temperature reach a plateau after 35 min (Fig. 2d).

Similarly, at a -12.5 °C trap temperature, the  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{47}$  values of both IVA and NB4 generally show little change when the collection time is longer than 30 min (Fig. 2a–c). Comparatively, the  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{47}$  values of NB4 when treated at a -15.0 °C trap temperature tend to be stable after 35 min. Therefore, the optimal collection time depends on the temperature of the PQT, i.e., the warmer the PQT, the shorter the collection time. In this study, we determined 35 min to be the optimum length of time to collect CO<sub>2</sub> passing through the absorbent trap at -15.0 °C for clumped isotope analysis.

At the same time, the  $\delta$ 48 and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  offset values exhibited an overall increasing trend with increasing collection time (Fig. 2e and f). At a -12.5 °C trap temperature, the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  offset of the two carbonate standards displayed little variation after 30 min although the  $\delta$ 48 values still increased. In comparison, both the  $\delta$ 48 and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  offset of NB4 treated at a -15.0 °C trap temperature continuously increased but the increase becomes less steep after 35 min. Moreover, the raw  $\delta$ 48 values of NB4 treated at a -12.5 °C trap temperature were systematically higher than those at -15.0 °C for the same collection time. Taking these data together, we conclude that  $^{12}C^{18}O^{18}O$  (mass 48) passes through the absorbent trap

more slowly than  ${}^{13}C^{16}O^{16}O$  (mass 45),  ${}^{12}C^{18}O^{16}O$  (mass 46) and  ${}^{13}C^{18}O^{16}O$  (mass 47). In this case, a relatively warm absorbent trap may cause easier passage. If  $\Delta_{48}$  is used as a geothermometer because of future technical improvements of IRMS, a sample extraction method using a relatively warm PQT (i.e., near  $-10^{\circ}C$ ) may be more suitable to shorten collection time. However, one risk of using warmer PQT temperatures is that contaminants will not be fully removed, requiring a balance between these competing demands.

## 3.2. $\Delta_{47}$ values of CO<sub>2</sub> passing through the absorbent trap driven by liquid nitrogen and a high vacuum pump (LN + HV method)

Attempting to examine whether the LN+HV method would shorten collection time, we tested different CO<sub>2</sub> collection periods (10-35 min) to obtain the optimum collection time for this extraction method. To eliminate the effect from varying conditions of the IRMS and possible changes in the clumped isotopic composition of the working  $CO_2$  gas, we daily measured the raw  $\Delta_{47}$  values of NB4 treated using the LN method for 35 min along with this test and calculated the raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset (relative to the above raw  $\Delta_{47}$  values of NB4 using the LN method) for NB4 treated using the LN + HV method. The PQT temperature was maintained at -15.0 °C and -25.0 °C, respectively. Fig. 3 illustrates the changes in  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta$ 47, raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset,  $\delta$ 48 and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values of NB4 along with collection times. Clearly, for the two PQT temperatures, the raw  $\Delta_{47}$  offset values generally stabilized with collection times longer than 25 min (Fig. 3d). Meanwhile, the  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ 47 values also reached a plateau after 25 min (Fig. 3a-c). These data indicate an optimum collection time of 25 min using the LN + HV method at −15.0 °C and −25.0 °C. Therefore, the LN + HV method can notably shorten the overall time of the extraction process compared with the LN method.

However, two key issues must be addressed before confirming the usefulness of the LN + HV method in routine measurement. First, the high vacuum pump may draw away some CO<sub>2</sub> gas, which would fractionate  $\Delta_{47}$  values. To avoid this possibility, we used a doubly folded U-trap which has a total length of about 70 cm immersed in liquid nitrogen and we only opened a narrow slit for the valve (V4, Fig. 1) that attaches to the HV pump. Moreover, the occurrence of fractionation could be judged from the measured isotopic compositions. The  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta$ 47 values determined from NB4 treated using the LN + HV method (after 25 min) are almost the same within the range of error as those measured using the LN method with a collection time of 35 min (orange and blue dashed lines in Fig. 3b and c). Nevertheless, the  $\delta^{13}$ C values determined using LN + HV are slightly lower by 0.02-0.03‰ than those measured using the LN method, for unknown reasons. This could rule out the possibility of fractionation caused by the HV pump. Otherwise, the  $\delta^{13}$ C obtained using the LN + HV method should be higher. Second, there is a possibility that the HV pump would pull CO<sub>2</sub> through the PQT too quickly to efficiently remove contaminants. This may be examined by comparing the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  of samples using the LN + HV method with those using the LN method. Both the  $\delta$ 48 values and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  of NB4 from the LN+HV method show increases along with increasing collection time (Fig. 3e and f), indicating a similar pattern to that observed for the LN method (Fig. 2e and f). The  $\delta$ 48 values plateau after 25 min for the LN+HV method at  $-25.0\,^\circ\text{C}$ , whereas the raw  $\varDelta_{48}$  values continue to increase even after 25 min. The raw  $\Delta_{48}$  value obtained using the LN + HV method at -25.0 °C for 25 min is very close to the mean  $\Delta_{48}$  values of NB4 treated with the LN method for 35 min (blue dashed line in Fig. 3f). Although the  $\Delta_{48}$  values do not stabilize, the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values for the LN+HV method at -25.0 °C (with 30 and 35 min collection times) are only approximately 0.2–0.3‰ higher than those for the LN method (at -25.0 °C for 35 min). This suggests that the LN+HV method at -25.0 °C removes contaminants as efficiently as the LN method. By contrast, both the  $\delta$ 48 and  $\Delta$ <sub>48</sub> values were obtained for the LN+HV method at -15.0 °C plateau after 30 min (orange circles in Fig. 3e and f). However, the stabilized  $\delta$ 48 and  $\Delta$ <sub>48</sub> values are clearly higher than those obtained using the LN method (at -15.0 °C for 35 min). This indicates that the LN + HV method at -15.0°C may not sufficiently remove contaminants, although these contaminants had little influence on the measured  $\Delta_{47}$  values. Further evaluation of the contaminant removal efficiency of these two methods will be discussed in the next section.

The  $\Delta_{47}$  values of NB4 treated using the LN+HV method for 25 min are approximately 0.04–0.05‰ higher than those using the LN method with 35 min collection (Fig. 3d). This may be attributed to different states of absorption and desorption for the PQTs in the two methods, which may be the focus of future study.

## 3.3. An evaluation of contaminant removal of the LN and LN + HV methods

Mass-48 and mass-49 signals have previously been found to be sensitive indicators of the presence of hydrocarbons, chlorocarbons or sulfur-bearing contaminants in CO<sub>2</sub> [12,18]. Subsequently, a method for determining whether a sample has 'normal'  $\Delta_{48}$  or is contaminated has been proposed, via comparing the raw  $\Delta_{48}$ of samples to heated gases run at the same time on a plot of  $\delta$ 48 vs.  $\Delta_{48}$  [17]. To examine whether the LN and LN + HV are actually cleaning the samples, we put the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values of IVA or NB4 treated using the LN, LN + HV and NAT methods on a plot of  $\delta$ 48 vs.  $\Delta_{48}$  for heated gases (Fig. 4). Clearly, the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values for samples treated using the PQT are largely reduced, compared with samples not passing through the PQT (NAT method). Moreover, the raw  $\Delta_{48}$ values observed using the NAT method apparently deviate from the HG line of  $\delta$ 48 vs.  $\Delta_{48}$ , and both the  $\delta$ 48 and raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values vary over a relatively large range. In contrast, the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values determined using the LN method (at all temperatures) and the LN + HV



**Fig. 5.** (a) Comparison of the raw  $\Delta_{47}$  values of four carbonate samples pretreated using the LN method with those of the same samples pretreated using the NAT method; (b) a negative correlation of the  $\Delta_{47}$  offset values with the  $\Delta_{48}$  offset values between the two methods. Each point represents one extraction and individual measurement. Error bars denote standard error (SE) for each measurement.

method (at -25.0 °C) are much closer to the HG line of  $\delta$ 48 vs.  $\Delta_{48}$ and clustered within a very narrow range. This confirms the cleanness of the sample CO<sub>2</sub> gases after using these methods. The LN + HV method (at  $-15.0\,^\circ\text{C}$ ) has  $\delta48$  and  $\varDelta_{48}$  values closer to those of the NAT method, suggesting it cannot fully remove the contaminants. However, it should be noted that all of the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values of samples lie above the HG line of  $\delta$ 48 vs.  $\Delta_{48}$ . This is because the CO<sub>2</sub> gases used to construct the HG line passed through the PQT twice, i.e., both before and after heating in the furnace, while the samples were only cleaned once. In addition, the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values for the LN method show a decreasing trend as the PQT temperatures lower. This may be explained by the following two reasons: one, as previously mentioned, the mass-48  $CO_2$  molecule ( ${}^{12}C^{18}O^{18}O$ ) passes through the cold PQT more slowly; two, the cold PQT may remove contaminants more effectively. Further elucidation of these effects awaits future research.

#### 3.4. Differences in $\Delta_{47}$ values between the LN and NAT methods

To examine the effect of contaminants such as hydrocarbons and halocarbons on  $\Delta_{47}$  values, we compared the raw  $\Delta_{47}$  values determined using the NAT method (  $\Delta_{47\text{-NAT}}$  ) with those measured using the LN method (  $\Delta_{
m 47-LN}$  ). This comparison was made on two pure calcium carbonate reagents (IVA and GBW4406), one naturally formed calcite (NB4) and one biogenic carbonate (P1). The collection time was 35 min for the LN method. Both the  $\Delta_{47-NAT}$ and  $\Delta_{47\text{-LN}}$  values for each sample were determined within the same day. Our results show that the  $\Delta_{47-\rm NAT}$  was systematically higher than the  $\Delta_{47-LN}$  for all samples (Fig. 5a). This is in accordance with the pattern observed in prior work [17]. The offsets between the  $\Delta_{47-\text{NAT}}$  and the  $\Delta_{47-\text{LN}}$  values ranged from 0.042% to 0.098%. Moreover, the  $\Delta_{47-NAT}$ - $\Delta_{47-LN}$  offsets exhibited a highly negative correlation with  $\Delta_{48-NAT}$ - $\Delta_{48-LN}$  offsets (Fig. 5b). In other words, the  $\varDelta_{
m 47-LN}$  is closer to the  $\varDelta_{
m 47-NAT}$  when the  $\varDelta_{
m 48-NAT}$  is relatively high. Because high  $\Delta_{48}$  values indicate a high level of contaminants [12,18], the above phenomena may suggest that our PQT did not sufficiently remove the interference for samples containing high levels of hydrocarbons or halocarbons. However, raw  $\Delta_{48-IN}$ values for these samples only varied within a range of 2.2–3.2‰, which was largely reduced compared with  $\Delta_{48-\text{NAT}}$  values (range: 11.4–40.7‰). It is still a challenge to set an appropriate  $\Delta_{48}$  cutoff point to judge whether the contaminants are completely removed or not.

#### 4. Summary

We systematically evaluated a sample extraction method involving CO<sub>2</sub> clean-up using a static  $\sim$ 8-cm-long Porapak<sup>TM</sup> Q absorbent trap (PQT) for clumped isotope analysis. Comparisons of stable and clumped isotopic data were made for the following three procedures: (1) CO<sub>2</sub> passing through the PQT and collected using liquid nitrogen (LN method); (2) CO<sub>2</sub> passing through the PQT and collected using liquid nitrogen and a high vacuum pump as an extra driving force (LN + HV method); (3) CO<sub>2</sub> collected without passing through the PQT (NAT method). For the LN and LN + HV methods, the stable and clumped isotopes initially increased along with increasing collection time and then stabilized after a certain point, which was deemed the optimum collection time. The optimum collection time for the LN method shortened considerably when the POT became warmer, i.e., from  $\sim$ 35 min to  $\sim$ 30 min when the PQT temperature changed from -15 °C to -12.5 °C. In comparison, the optimum collection time for the LN + HV method was reduced to 25 min at both -15 °C and -25 °C, displaying little change with the PQT temperature.  $\Delta_{48}$  values were used to examine the efficiency of contaminant (i.e., hydrocarbons and chlorocarbons) removal. The raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values determined when using the LN method (with PQT temperatures at -12.5 to  $-25 \circ$ C) and the LN + HV method (with the PQT temperature at  $-25 \circ C$ ) were largely reduced compared with those for the NAT method and were much closer to the HG line of  $\delta$ 48 vs.  $\Delta_{48}$ , confirming the cleanness of the CO<sub>2</sub> sample gases after treatment using these methods. By contrast, the LN+HV method (at a PQT temperature of -15.0 °C) exhibited  $\delta$ 48 and  $\Delta_{48}$  values closer to those of the NAT method, suggesting it cannot fully remove the contaminants. Moreover, the raw  $\Delta_{48}$  values for the LN method increased slightly with the PQT temperature, which may indicate two possibilities: first, the real  $\Delta_{48}$  value for sample was not obtained within 35 min collection time at a lower PQT temperature; second, a warm PQT may not fully remove the contaminants. If the latter is correct, then a cold PQT

can enhance the efficiency of contaminant removal. Nevertheless, increasing sample cleanness in this fashion would outweigh the efficiency of the sample preparation process. To summarize, the LN + HV method (at a PQT temperature of -25.0 °C) may serve as a promising sample preparation method in routine measurement of clumped isotopes because of its cost-saving abilities without compromising sample cleaning efficiency. Comparison of  $\Delta_{47}$  values shows that the  $\Delta_{47}$  values of four carbonate samples determined using the NAT method were 0.042-0.098‰ higher than those measured using the LN method, indicating that the removal of contaminants would lower  $\Delta_{47}$  values. In contrast, the  $\Delta_{47}$  values of NB4 treated using the LN + HV method are 0.04–0.05‰ higher than those using the LN method possibly because of different absorption and desorption states of the PQTs in the two methods. To the best of our knowledge, our study provides the first detailed examination of the effectiveness and reliability of the Porapak Q absorbent trap method.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (No.: 2012CB821905), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 41572163), and the "Strategic Priority Research Program" of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB03020500).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2016.03.008.

#### References

- P. Ghosh, J. Adkins, H. Affek, B. Balta, W.F. Guo, E.A. Schauble, D. Schrag, J.M. Eiler, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 70 (2006) 1439–1456.
- [2] R.A. Eagle, E.A. Schauble, A.K. Tripati, T. Tütken, R.C. Hulbert, J.M. Eiler, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107 (2010) 10377–10382.
- [3] R.A. Eagle, T. Tütken, T.S. Martin, A.K. Tripati, H.C. Fricke, M. Connely, R.L. Cifelli, J.M. Eiler, Science 333 (2011) 443–445.
- [4] R.A. Eagle, R. Camille, J.L. Mitchell, J.M. Eiler, U. Seibt, J.D. Neelin, G.J. Li, A.K. Tripati, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110 (2013) 8813–8818.
- [5] M.T. Hren, N.D. Sheldon, S.T. Grimes, M.E. Collinson, J.J. Hooker, M. Bugler, K.C. Lohmann, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110 (2013) 7562–7567.
- [6] K.E. Snell, B.L. Thrasher, J.M. Eiler, P.L. Koch, L.C. Sloan, N.J. Tabor, Geology 41 (2013) 55–58.
- [7] K.J. Dennis, D.P. Schrag, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 74 (2010) 4110-4122.
- [8] P. Ghosh, C. Garzione, J.M. Eiler, Science 311 (2006) 511–515.
- [9] L.L. Cui, X. Wang, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 372 (2014) 46-50.
- [10] J. Fiebig, S. Hofmann, N. Löffler, T. Lüdecke, K. Methner, U. Wacker, Isotopes Environ. Health Stud. (2015) 1–17, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10256016.2015. 1010531.
- [11] U. Wacker, J. Fiebig, B.R. Schoene, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 27 (2013) 1631–1642.
- [12] J.M. Eiler, E. Schauble, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 68 (2004) 4767-4777.
- [13] B.H. Passey, N.E. Levin, T.E. Cerling, F.H. Brown, J.M. Eiler, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107 (2010) 11245–11249.
- [14] W.F. Defliese, M.T. Hren, K.C. Lohmann, Chem. Geol. 396 (2015) 51-60.
- [15] T.W. Schmid, S.M. Bernasconi, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 24 (2010) 1955–1963.
- [16] T. Kluge, C.M. John, A.L. Jourdan, S. Davis, J. Crawshaw, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 157 (2015) 213–227.
- [17] K.W. Huntington, J.M. Eiler, H.P. Affek, W. Guo, M. Bonifacie, L.Y. Yeung, N. Thiagarajan, B. Passey, A. Tripati, M. Daeron, R. Came, J. Mass Spectrom. 44 (2009) 1318–1329.
- [18] W. Guo, J.M. Eiler, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71 (2007) 5565–5575.